IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

HUGHEY M BUCHANAN,	§ §	
Plaintiff,	§ §	
v.	§ §	C N C-99 979 IDV IDI
ETHAN SALA, OFFICER 12155; FW	§ §	Case No. 6:22-cv-353-JDK-JDL
HOLLAND, OFFICER 12102; AND CITY OF TYLER POLICE	§ §	
DEPARTMENT,	§ §	
Defendants.	§ §	

ORDER ADOPTING THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Plaintiff Hughey Buchanan, proceeding pro se and *in forma pauperis*, filed this civil rights lawsuit pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The case was referred to United States Magistrate Judge John D. Love for findings of fact, conclusions of law, and recommendations for disposition.

On November 17, 2022, Judge Love issued a Report recommending that the Court dismiss this case without prejudice for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. Docket No. 12. Plaintiff acknowledged receipt of the Report on November 21, 2022. Docket No. 13. No objections to the Report were filed and the time period for filing objections has passed.

This Court reviews the findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge de novo only if a party objects within fourteen days of service of the Report and Recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). In conducting a de novo review, the Court

examines the entire record and makes an independent assessment under the law.

Douglass v. United Servs. Auto. Ass'n, 79 F.3d 1415, 1430 (5th Cir. 1996) (en banc),

superseded on other grounds by statute, 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (extending the time to

file objections from ten to fourteen days).

Here, Plaintiff did not object in the prescribed period. The Court therefore

reviews the Magistrate Judge's findings for clear error or abuse of discretion and

reviews the legal conclusions to determine whether they are contrary to law. See

United States v. Wilson, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir. 1989), cert. denied, 492 U.S.

918 (1989) (holding that, if no objections to a Magistrate Judge's Report are filed, the

standard of review is "clearly erroneous, abuse of discretion and contrary to law").

Having reviewed the Magistrate Judge's Report and the record in this case,

the Court finds no clear error or abuse of discretion and no conclusions contrary to

law. Accordingly, the Court hereby **ADOPTS** the Report and Recommendation of the

United States Magistrate Judge (Docket No. 12) as the findings of this Court. It is

therefore **ORDERED** that this case is **DISMISSED** without prejudice for failure to

state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 12th day of January, 2023.

JEREMY D. KERNODLE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE